

# Fractional Moments and Distribution

Mollifiers, Moments, and the Zeta Function — Lecture 2 of 3

- In the last lecture we introduced mollifiers, i.e. Dirichlet polynomials

$$M(s) = \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a(n)}{n^s}$$

with the property that  $M(s) \approx 1/\zeta(s)$  most of the time (in the precise sense that  $|\zeta(s)M(s)| \ll 1$  most of the time).

- The famous Selberg mollifier

$$M(s) = \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\log n}{\log X}\right)$$

is obtained by finding the coefficients  $a(n)$  that minimize

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \sum_{n \leq X} a(n)n^{-1/2-it} \right|^2 dt.$$

# Drawback of the Selberg mollifier

- One important drawback of the Selberg mollifier is that its *inverse* is not close to  $\zeta$ . In no reasonable sense do we have

$$\left( \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{\log n}{\log X} \right) \right)^{-1} \approx \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

- This is a significant drawback if we want to study the *distributional* aspects of the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function.
- **Goal of this lecture:** salvage the idea of Bohr by constructing Dirichlet polynomials that behave like Euler products *on typical sets*, and apply this to fractional moments.

# Moments as a proxy for distribution

- A proxy for the distributional behavior of the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function are the moments,

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt.$$

- We can think of this as the moment generating function of  $\log |\zeta|$  since the moment can be re-written as

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \exp(2k \log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|) dt.$$

- It is conjectured that the above is  $\sim c_k \exp(k^2 \log \log T)$ .
- This strongly suggests that  $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$  should have Gaussian behavior.

## Theorem 1 (Selberg)

$$\frac{1}{T} \text{meas}_{T \leq t \leq 2T} \left\{ \frac{\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \log \log T}} > V \right\} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_V^\infty e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

- Conjectured to remain true all the way to  $V = o(\sqrt{\log \log T})$ .
- Work of Arguin–Bailey in this direction.

# What is known about moments?

- We are able to compute  $\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2$  and that's it. This is done by a direct computation.
- Can we say anything about fractional moments? Yes.
- In this lecture we will show how to obtain

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt \asymp T(\log T)^{k^2}$$

for simplicity we will just focus on the upper bound part.

## First attempt: Cauchy–Schwarz (wrong exponent)

- For  $0 \leq k \leq 1$ , Cauchy–Schwarz gives

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt \leq T \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \right)^k$$

- Since  $\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \ll T \log T$ , this gives

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt \ll T (\log T)^k$$

but the actual upper bound has a power of  $k^2$ .

# Introducing exponential weights

- How to improve it? Let's pretend that we could use

$$\exp\left(\beta \Re \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{1}{p^{1/2+it}}\right)$$

as a mollifier.

- Recall the **random model**: replace  $p^{-it}$  by independent random variables  $X(p)$  uniform on the unit circle. Then  $\mathcal{P}(s) := \Re \sum_{p \leq X} p^{-s}$  plays the role of a sum of independent random variables.
- Write

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta|^{2k} dt = \int_T^{2T} |\zeta|^{2k} \exp(-\gamma \mathcal{P}) \exp(\gamma \mathcal{P}) dt.$$

- Hölder with exponents  $1/k$  and  $1/(1-k)$  gives

$$\left( \int_T^{2T} |\zeta|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma}{k} \mathcal{P}\right) dt \right)^k \cdot \left( \int_T^{2T} \exp\left(\frac{\gamma}{1-k} \cdot \mathcal{P}\right) dt \right)^{1-k}.$$

- In the random model  $\mathcal{P}$  is approximately Gaussian with variance  $\frac{1}{2} \log \log T$  and  $|\zeta|^2 \approx e^{2\mathcal{P}}$ . Substituting the random model prediction  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\alpha\mathcal{P}}] \sim (\log T)^{\alpha^2/4}$  into the Hölder bound, the exponent from Hölder is:

$$f(\gamma) = \frac{k(2 - \gamma/k)^2}{4} + \frac{(1 - k)(\gamma/(1 - k))^2}{4}.$$

## The correct $\gamma$

- Set  $\alpha = \gamma/k$  and minimize:  $f'(\alpha) = 0$  gives

$$(2 - \alpha)(1 - k) = k\alpha \implies \alpha = 2(1 - k),$$

i.e.

$$\boxed{\gamma = 2k(1 - k).}$$

- Check:  $\gamma/k = 2(1 - k)$ ,  $\gamma/(1 - k) = 2k$ .
- Exponents:  $k \cdot (2k)^2/4 = k^3$  and  $(1 - k) \cdot (2k)^2/4 = k^2(1 - k)$ .
- Total:  $k^3 + k^2 - k^3 = k^2$ .

# The main problem

- The main problem is that we are not able to compute the resulting expressions. The exponential  $\exp(\beta\mathcal{P})$  is *not* a short Dirichlet polynomial.
- What if instead we first aimed to create a short Dirichlet polynomial that behaves “most of the time” like the Euler product?
- This is the idea behind Bohr’s approach from Lecture 1, now carried out on *typical sets* rather than everywhere.
- For this we need a little bit of probabilistic intuition.

# Typical values of the Euler product

- Consider

$$\exp\left(\beta \Re \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{X(p)}{\sqrt{p}}\right)$$

where  $X(p)$  are independent random variables uniform on the unit circle. Then the bulk of the values come from values of  $X(p)$  for which

$$\left| \Re \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{X(p)}{\sqrt{p}} \right| \leq \left( \frac{\beta}{2} + \varepsilon \right) \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{1}{p}.$$

## Taylor expansion on the typical set

- On the typical set, we expand the exponential in a Taylor expansion,

$$\exp\left(\beta\Re \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{X(p)}{\sqrt{p}}\right) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\beta\Re \sum_{p \leq X} \frac{X(p)}{\sqrt{p}}\right)^j.$$

- The terms past  $j \geq \beta \log \log X$  give a negligible contribution. Thus on the typical set we can approximate the Euler product by a Dirichlet polynomial of length

$$X^{\beta \log \log X}.$$

- For this to be short enough ( $\leq T^{1/2}$ ) we need  $X \leq \exp\left(\frac{\log T}{(\log \log T)^2}\right)$  say.

## Improving by splitting into two scales

- Can we improve it? The idea is to set  $X_1 = T^{1/(\log \log \log T)^2}$  and look at

$$\exp\left(\beta \Re \sum_{X \leq p \leq X_1} \frac{X(p)}{\sqrt{p}}\right).$$

- The same principle applies but we can cut off earlier! The bulk of the values come from  $X$  for which

$$\left| \Re \sum_{X \leq p \leq X_1} \frac{X(p)}{\sqrt{p}} \right| \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \varepsilon\right) \sum_{X \leq p \leq X_1} \frac{1}{p}.$$

## Second scale: shorter polynomial

- We can truncate again at  $j \leq \beta \log \log \log T$  this time. The resulting Dirichlet polynomial has length

$$X_1^{\beta \log \log \log T},$$

which means that we can take

$$X_1 = \exp \left( \frac{\log T}{(\log \log \log T)^2} \right).$$

- Overall: an expression for Euler products up to  $T^{1/(\log \log \log T)^2}$  on typical sets. One can continue in this way, adding further scales.

## Setup: two scales of primes

We now make this rigorous. Choose two even integers:

$$\ell_1 = 2\lceil(\log \log T)^2\rceil, \quad \ell_2 = 2\lceil(\log \log \log T)^2\rceil.$$

Set thresholds and cutoffs:

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \ell_1/e^2, & L_2 &= \ell_2/e^2, \\ Y &= T^{1/(2\ell_1)}, & Z &= T^{1/(2\ell_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Two scales of primes:

- $P_1 = \{p \leq Y\}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1(t) = \Re \sum_{p \in P_1} p^{-1/2-it}$ , variance  $\sigma_1^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \log \log T$ .
- $P_2 = \{Y < p \leq Z\}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2(t) = \Re \sum_{p \in P_2} p^{-1/2-it}$ , variance  $\sigma_2^2 \asymp \log \log \log T$ .

## Why these choices?

- On  $\mathcal{T}_j = \{|\mathcal{P}_j| \leq L_j\}$ , we expand  $e^{\alpha\mathcal{P}_j}$  in a Taylor polynomial of degree  $\ell_j$ . The approximation is good when  $|\mathcal{P}_j| \leq \ell_j/e^2 = L_j$ .
- Expanding  $\mathcal{P}_j^{\ell_j}$  gives a Dirichlet polynomial of length  $\leq Y^{\ell_1} = T^{1/2}$  (resp.  $Z^{\ell_2} = T^{1/2}$ ). Short enough.

## Definition of the level sets

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \{t \in [T, 2T] : |\mathcal{P}_1(t)| \leq L_1\},$$

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \{t \in [T, 2T] : |\mathcal{P}_2(t)| \leq L_2\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = [T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{J}_1,$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = [T, 2T] \setminus \mathcal{J}_2.$$

## Step 1: Excise $\mathcal{E}_1$

Start with the entire moment. Split:

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta|^{2k} dt = \int_{\mathcal{J}_1} |\zeta|^{2k} dt + \int_{\mathcal{E}_1} |\zeta|^{2k} dt.$$

Bound  $\mathcal{E}_1$  using Hölder ( $0 \leq k \leq 1$ ):

$$\int_{\mathcal{E}_1} |\zeta|^{2k} \leq \text{meas}(\mathcal{E}_1)^{1-k} \left( \int_T^{2T} |\zeta|^2 dt \right)^k.$$

## Chebyshev for $\mathcal{E}_1$

- Chebyshev with the  $2m$ -th moment of  $\mathcal{P}_1$ : for any even integer  $2m$ ,

$$\text{meas}(\mathcal{E}_1) \leq \frac{1}{L_1^{2m}} \int_T^{2T} |\mathcal{P}_1(t)|^{2m} dt.$$

- The moments of  $\mathcal{P}_1$  are computable (expand, use MVT for Dirichlet polynomials):

$$\int_T^{2T} |\mathcal{P}_1|^{2m} dt \sim T \cdot \sigma_1^{2m} \cdot \frac{(2m)!}{2^m m!}.$$

- By Stirling, optimizing in  $m$ :

$$\text{meas}(\mathcal{E}_1) \ll T \cdot \exp(-c L_1^2 / \sigma_1^2).$$

- Since  $L_1 / \sigma_1 \rightarrow \infty$  this is negligible, giving  $\int_{\mathcal{E}_1} |\zeta|^{2k} \ll T$ .

## Step 2: On $\mathcal{T}_1$ , introduce the exponential

- On  $\mathcal{T}_1$  we can introduce  $e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_1} \cdot e^{-\gamma\mathcal{P}_1}$ :

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_1} |\zeta|^{2k} = \int_{\mathcal{T}_1} |\zeta|^{2k} e^{-\gamma\mathcal{P}_1} \cdot e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_1} dt.$$

- Then split into  $\mathcal{T}_2$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2} |\zeta|^{2k} e^{-\gamma\mathcal{P}_1} \cdot e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_1} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2} |\zeta|^{2k} e^{-\gamma\mathcal{P}_1} \cdot e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

### Step 3: Main term — $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$

- On  $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ : introduce the additional exponential  $e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_2} \cdot e^{-\gamma\mathcal{P}_2}$  and apply Hölder:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2} |\zeta|^{2k} e^{-\gamma(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)} \cdot e^{\gamma(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)} dt \\ & \leq \left( \int |\zeta|^2 e^{-(\gamma/k)(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)} dt \right)^k \left( \int e^{(\gamma/(1-k))(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)} dt \right)^{1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

## Step 3 (cont'd): Expanding and evaluating

- Since we are on both  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_j| \leq L_j = \ell_j/e^2$  on both scales. So we can expand:

$$e^{\alpha \mathcal{P}_j} \approx E_{\ell_j}(\alpha \mathcal{P}_j) := \sum_{m=0}^{\ell_j} \frac{(\alpha \mathcal{P}_j)^m}{m!}.$$

- Since  $\ell_j$  is even,  $E_{\ell_j}$  is non-negative. So we can extend from  $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$  to all of  $[T, 2T]$  (upper bound).
- The resulting Dirichlet polynomials have length  $\leq Y^{\ell_1}, Z^{\ell_2} \leq T^{1/2}$ . Evaluate using the mean value theorem for Dirichlet polynomials.
- Recall  $\gamma = 2k(1 - k)$  from the earlier optimization. This gives  $\ll T(\log T)^{k^2}$ .

## Step 4: Error term — $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2$ (Hölder)

- On  $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2$ : apply Hölder keeping the exponentials as they are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2} |\zeta|^{2k} e^{-\gamma \mathcal{P}_1} \cdot e^{\gamma \mathcal{P}_1} dt \\ & \leq \left( \int |\zeta|^2 e^{-\gamma \mathcal{P}_1/k} dt \right)^k \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2} e^{\gamma \mathcal{P}_1/(1-k)} dt \right)^{1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

- The first factor is a mollified second moment, handled as before.

## Step 4 (cont'd): Expand on $\mathcal{T}_1$ , Chebyshev on $\mathcal{E}_2$

- For the second factor: we are on  $\mathcal{T}_1$  so we write the exponential as a square and expand,  $e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_1/(1-k)} = (e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_1/(2(1-k))})^2 \approx |D_1(t)|^2$ , where  $D_1$  is the Taylor expansion of  $e^{\gamma\mathcal{P}_1/(2(1-k))}$ . We are also on  $\mathcal{E}_2$ , so add a Chebyshev bound:

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2} |D_1|^2 dt \leq \int_{\mathcal{E}_2} |D_1|^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{L_2^{2m}} \int_T^{2T} |D_1|^2 |\mathcal{P}_2|^{2m} dt.$$

- $D_1$  depends on primes in  $P_1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2$  depends on primes in  $P_2$ , these are **disjoint**, so:

$$\int |D_1|^2 |\mathcal{P}_2|^{2m} dt \approx \frac{1}{T} \left( \int |D_1|^2 dt \right) \left( \int |\mathcal{P}_2|^{2m} dt \right).$$

- This decoupling + Chebyshev makes the error negligible.

## Putting it all together

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt = \underbrace{\ll T(\log T)^{k^2}}_{\mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2} + \underbrace{\text{negligible}}_{\mathcal{E}_1} + \underbrace{\text{negligible}}_{\mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2}.$$

- One can continue iterating to push the primes closer to  $T$ , capturing more of the variance. The structure repeats at each new scale.
- Next time: Selberg's central limit theorem. The multi-scale Taylor expansion reappears, and taking  $k \rightarrow 0$  in the fractional moment heuristic leads naturally to the CLT.